

## MEMO ROUTING SLIP

NEVER USE FOR APPROVALS, DISAPPROVALS,  
CONCURRENCES, OR SIMILAR ACTIONS

1 NAME OR TITLE	INITIALS	CIRCULATE
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION	DATE	COORDINATION
2		FILE
		INFORMATION
3		NECESSARY ACTION
		NOTE AND RETURN
4		SEE ME
		SIGNATURE

## REMARKS

Two weeks ago I asked Mr. Turner about the run-down on Mr. Miller. He said he already had sent it to us. I told him we had never received it. He said he would send another copy. We have never received it. Called Turner today. He said, "I'll have them make a copy and send it right over."

FROM NAME OR TITLE	DATE 25 June
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION	TELEPHONE

Approved for Release by NSA on 03-25-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526

7 June

Memo for Mr. Friedman:

1. If the reasoning behind COMMENT 1 were to prevail, a similar query should logically be forthcoming on all Panel and Board members associated with firms.

2. No one in PERS seems to understand the intent or implications (or flexibility) of the "Conflicts of Interest" legislation.

3. Paragraph 3 of COMMENT 2 is inaccurate. A pertinent chronology:

(a) Gen'l Canine's letter of invitation to Panel (Telecom) membership - 3 Feb '54

(b) Miller's acceptance - 12 Feb '54

(c) Your acknowledgment - 23 Feb '54

(d) On or about April, I received a call from Warren Turner. R/D needed Miller in a big rush - on the strength of his Panel membership, could he get 30 a day? Affirmative answer in light of (a). Mr. Turner mentioned

possibility of a 90-day appointment for Miller, with the intention of using him every other Saturday.

(e) C/S's D/F - 9 April

(f) S/ASST received copy of (3 April) 90<sup>day</sup> appointment of Miller - with no explanation - 14 April

(g) You called C/S's attention to situation - 14 April

(h) Subsequently I asked Mr. Turner for written brief of situation (so that our records would be complete) in light of 9 April C/S's D/F. I was told that "I may do so when I get time." Apparently he (Turner) hasn't had time.

4. Mr. Miller's 90-day appointment was not made in accordance with the C/S's memo of 9 April. This is a physical impossibility.