

DATE 22 July

Document No. 3449

TO:	FROM:	DATE
___ Chief "F" Branch	___	___
___ Ass't Chief	___	___
___ FØ	___	___
___ Legal Procurement	___	___
___ Executive Sec'y	___	___
___ F1	___	___
___ F2	___	___
___ F3	___	___
___ F4	___	___
___ F5	___	___
___ F6	___	___
___ Property Officer	___	___
___ Mail Desk	___	___
___ Forward to	___	___
___ File (Indicate No.)	___	___
<u>Sgt Stawpper ✓</u>	___	___

Information & Return	___	Typing	___
Information & File	___	Correction	___
Bulletin Board	___	Necessary	___
Information of All	___	Action	___

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 08-12-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526

Mr. Friedman - This is another draft of the history case. Two new sheets of drawings have been prepared, and several claims have been added. Changes still to be

The rotor of Figure 9 was intentionally made slightly different from the *big* rotor. Possibly the similarity is still too pronounced, but it seems to me that if the rotors are to be included, as elements, in the claims, some illustration should appear.

Do you think we should have a sentence or two - probably on page 8 - explaining how one rotor is caused to step on each depression of the plunger?

H.B. Hauffer

Old Figure 7 is attached for comparison with the proposed drawing.