

~~TOP SECRET DINAR~~~~TOP SECRET DINAR~~

REF: CANSLO 8-1/26

20 April, 1961

The Director,
National Security Agency.

PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

Subject: Replacement for [redacted]
(presently integrated in [redacted])

1. [redacted] is scheduled to return to Canada this mid-summer and the question of his replacement is under discussion by the Directorate at CNRRC. I have been requested to solicit the reaction of the National Security Agency to the following points and concept which are being considered in regard to the future handling of the CB "integrated" person at NSA:

(a) CB feel that they should not continue to send people to NSA for two-year tours, especially where, unlike [redacted], they may not in future be capable of contributing much to the NSA effort.

(b) There are many complicated new SIGINT problems cropping up in the fields of [redacted] where CB shall be hard pressed to keep up with current techniques.

(c) It is believed that the best way for CB personnel to become familiar with some of these problems is by actually working on them with more experienced people but for periods shorter than a full integrated tour, or by taking on-the-job training courses where these are available in NSA.

(d) CNRRC would therefore like to substitute the idea of on-the-job training for shorter periods, instead of integrating a CB analyst on one specific job for two years or more.

EO 3.3(h)(2)
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

~~TOP SECRET DIAR~~~~TOP SECRET DIAR~~

REF: CANSLO 8-1/26

Page Two

PL 86-36/50 USC 3605
EO 3.3(h) (2)

2. If the National Security Agency agrees to this shift in concept, the first problem areas where CB would like to send selected individuals to get some on-the-job training in NSA for such periods as seem necessary would range through:

3. Also, if NSA agrees to this concept, CENRC would welcome more precise suggestions for the best places and optimum periods to send CB people, one at a time, for training on the job in the

As an example of what CB have in mind, they might be prepared

after

Section, for as many months as seem necessary to get a thorough grasp of current methods of handling the problem.

4. Your consideration of and views on the above would be most appreciated.

PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

SKH/els